



It is the assumption that if you make an individual more effective, especially if it is the CEO, you can make the organization more effective.
Good luck.
You might make the individual more effective, more at peace with himself, less stressed, etc., but the organizations won't change much.
It might, I repeat, might work somewhat with a company in the growing stages of their lifecycle because in those stages, the style of the leader impacts heavily on the style of the company at large. But in the aging stages of the lifecycle, organizational dynamics and culture are stronger than the style of the leader, particularly when it comes to impacting organizational behavior. So changing the style or behavior of the leader does not change the behavior of the organization. Not at all.
What is wrong with coaching is the expectation that if managers are coached well, the organization will be more effective and/or more efficient, i.e. better managed. Not true.

The danger lies in the assumption that something is happening when in reality it is no	ot
happening. It is analogous to having insufficient strength antibiotics.	

The patient believes he or she is doing the right thing when in fact nothing or very little is happening at all.

Source: Huffingtonpost by Dr. Ichak Kalderon Adizes